

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 145 (1999) 317-321



www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

Letter

Fluorous biphasic hydrogenation of 1-alkenes using novel fluorous derivatives of Wilkinson's catalyst

Bodo Richter^a, Berth-Jan Deelman^{b,*}, Gerard van Koten^a

^a Debye Institute, Department of Metal-Mediated Synthesis, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands ^b Elf Atochem Vlissingen, P.O. Box 70, 4380 AB Vlissingen, The Netherlands

Received 15 January 1999; accepted 8 February 1999

Abstract

A novel approach for the easy attachment of fluorous tails to aryl phospines has been developed, leading to a new fluorous alkylsilyl-substituted triaryl phospines $P(C_6H_4SiMe_2R_f-4)_3(R_f = -(CH_2)_2(CF_2)_nCF_3; n = 5, 7)$. The derived fluorous tris(aryl phospine)rhodium(I) chloride complexes, being fluorous analogs of Wilkinson's catalyst, show high activity in hydrogeneration of 1-alkenes under fluorous biphasic (FBS) conditions and can be effectively recycled. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fluorous phase catalysis; Phosphines; Hydrogenation; Rhodium

1. Introduction

Fluorous biphasic catalysis, an alternative to aqueous biphasic catalysis, was originally developed by Horváth et al. as a method for facile catalyst recovery in hydroformylation of 1-alkenes using HRh(CO)[P{ $(CH_2)_2(CF_2)_5CF_3$ }_3]_3 as fluorous catalyst [1,2]. Since this early report, several other fluorous metal complexes have been prepared. Some of these show activity as catalysts in hydroformylation [1–4], hydroboration [5], hydrogenation [6] and cross-coupling reactions [7,8]. To our knowledge, the existing arylphosphines with fluorotails are restricted to m- and p-substituted triphenylphosphines [9] and o-, m-, and p-substituted bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane derivatives using either the aryl group itself [6,10] or the $-C_6H_4$ -(CH₂)₂- fragment [3] as a spacer, which is most likely related to synthetic difficulties.

In here, we present (i) a new, versatile synthetic route for the synthesis of fluorous arylphosphines (**4a,b**) involving the use of the $-(CH_2)_2SiMe_2$ - fragment as a connection between the aryl group and the fluorous tail; (ii) the preparation of Wilkinson's catalyst [11,12] derived fluorous rhodium(I) chloride complexes (**5a,b**); (iii) their activity as catalysts for the hydrogenation of 1-alkenes under fluorous conditions; and (iv) their efficient recycling by facile phase separation. To be able to establish the effect of the tetrahydroperfluoroalkyl sub-

^{*} Corresponding author. Current address: Debye Institute, Department of Metal-Mediated Synthesis, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands. Fax: +31-30-252-3615; E-mail: b.j.deelman@chem.uu.nl

^{1381-1169/99/\$ -} see front matter 0 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S1381-1169(99)00149-1

stituent, trimethylsilyl derivatives **4c** [13] and **5c** were prepared for comparison.

2. Results and discussion

The synthesis of compounds 4a,b is straightforward and starts from 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluoro-1-alkyldimethylchlorosilanes (1a,b) (Scheme 1). Reaction with *p*-bromophenyllithium yielded the corresponding p-bromo-(1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluoro-1-alkyl)dimethylsilvlbenzenes (2a,b) with C_6H_4 [SiMe₂- $(CH_2)_2(CF_2)_n CF_3]_2 - 1,4$ (n = 5, 7) as a side product. A similar result was reported in the copper(I)-mediated coupling reaction of $CF_2(CF_2)_5I$ with *p*-bromoiodobenzene [10]. The route to 2 has also been used for the synthesis of $[NiCl{C_6H_2(CH_2NMe_2)_2-2,6-(CF_3(CF_2)_5 (CH_2)_2SiMe_2$, which is a model catalyst for the selective 1:1 Kharasch addition of CCl₄ to methyl methacrylate under fluorous biphasic conditions [14]. Compounds 2 were converted



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i, BrC_6H_4Li -4, hexanes/ THF, $-78^{\circ}C$; ii, 2 ^{*t*}BuLi, hexane, 0°C then reflux; iii, P(OR')₃ (R' = Me, Et), pentane, 0°C; iv, [(COD)RhCl]₂, benzene, room temperature.

into the *p*-lithio derivatives **3** using either *t*-BuLi (1:2 molar ratio) or *n*-BuLi (1:1 molar ratio). Metathesis of **3** with $P(OR')_3$ (R' = Me or Et) at 0°C afforded the ligands **4**¹ (overall yields 33 (**4a**) to 75% (**4c**)).

From comparison of the ¹³C-NMR signals of the *ipso-C*SiMe₂- function and the ³¹P-NMR data of compounds **4** (**4a**: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 138.7, $\delta_{\rm P}$ – 5.48;

¹ **4a**, Mp 89°C (Calc. for C₄₈H₄₂F₃₉Si₃P: C, 39.1; H, 2.85; F, 50.3; Si, 5.71; P, 2.10. Found: C, 39.3; H, 2.87; F, 50.1; Si, 5.80; P. 2.08%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.42 (m, 2 H, o-H in $C_6H_4SiMe_2C_6F_{13}$), 7.23 (m, 2 H, *m*-H in $C_6H_4SiMe_2C_6F_{13}$), 1.87 (m, 2 H), 0.80 (m, 2 H), 0.01 (s, 6 H). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}NMR$ (121.5 MHz, $C_6 D_6$): $\delta = -5.48$. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.5 MHz, $C_6 D_6$): δ 139.4 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C} = 12.7$ Hz, *ipso*-C in C₆H₄SiMe₂C₆F₁₃), 138.7 (s, ${}^{1}J_{\text{Si,C}} = 65 \text{ Hz}, p-\text{C}, \text{ in } \text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\text{SiMe}_{2}\text{C}_{6}\text{F}_{13}), 134.3 \text{ (d}, {}^{3}J_{\text{P,C}} = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, m-\text{C} \text{ in } \text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\text{SiMe}_{2}\text{C}_{6}\text{F}_{13}), 134.1 \text{ (d}, {}^{2}J_{\text{P,C}} = 18.9 \text{ Hz}, o-\text{C} \text{ in }$ $C_6H_4SiMe_2C_6F_{13}$, 119.3 (tt, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} = 253.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 30.5$ Hz, α -C in C_6F_{13}), 118.1 (qt, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} = 288.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 33.3$ Hz, α-c in C₆F₁₃), 118.1 (qt, ${}^{J}C_{,F} = 288.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 33.3$ Hz, CF₃), 112.3 (tquin, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} = 268.3$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 32.0$ Hz, β-C in C₆F₁₃), 112.1 (tquin, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} = 271.3$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 31.8$ Hz, γ-C in C₆F₁₃), 111.3 (tquin, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} = 272.5$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 31.7$ Hz, δ-C in C₆F₁₃), 109.4 (tqt, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} = 259.6$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 30.5$ Hz, ε-C in C₆F₁₃), 26.6 (t, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 23.5$ Hz, CH₂), 5.62 (s, ${}^{1}J_{C,Si} = 50.9$ Hz, CH₂Si), -3.51 (s, ${}^{1}J_{C,Si} = 53.1$ Hz, MeSi). 19 F NMR (282.4 MHz C, D, b) = 8 - 81.0 (m 2.5 CF) = 115.4 (c) - 2.5 CF) MHz, $C_6 D_6$): $\delta - 81.0$ (m, 3 F, CF₃), - 115.4 (m, 2 F, CF₂CH₂), -121.8 (m, 2 F, CF₂), -122.7 (m, 2 F, CF₂), -122.0 (m, 2 F, CF₂), -126.1 (m, 2 F, CF₂). 4b, Mp 101°C (Calc. for C₅₄H₄₂F₅₁Si₃P: C, 36.5; H, 2.34; F, 54.6; Si, 4.74; P, 1.75. Found: C, 36.6; H, 2.41; F, 54.4; Si, 4.85; P, 1.86%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.44 (m, 2 H, *o*-H in C₆H₄SiMe₂C₆F₁₃), (500 MHz, $C_6 D_6^{-1}$, b = 1.44 (m, 2 = 1, b = 1 m $C_6 H_4$ sinke $2 = C_6 T_{13}^{-1}$, 7.21 (m, 2 H, m-H in $C_6 H_4$ siNe $2 = C_6 F_{13}^{-1}$), 1.88 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 0.81 (m, 2 H, CH₂Si), 0.02 (s, 6 H, $^{2}J_{\text{Si,H}} = 6.53$ Hz, Me). $^{31}P_1^{-1}H$ NMR (121.5 MHz, $C_6 D_6$): $\delta = -5.56$. $^{13}C_1^{-19}F$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $C_6 D_6$): $\delta = 139.4$ (dt, $^{1}J_{P,C} = 12.7$ Hz, $^{2}J_{C,H} = 6.1$ Hz, ipso-C in $C_6 H_4$ SiNe $_2 C_6 F_{13}^{-1}$), 138.6 (m, p-C, in $C_6H_4SiMe_2C_6F_{13}$), 134.3 (dm, *m*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_2C_6F_{13}$), 134.1 (dm, o-C in C₆H₄SiMe₂C₆F₁₃), 119.3 (s, α-C in C₆F₁₃), 118.1 $(q, {}^{1}J_{C,F} = 268 \text{ Hz}, CF_{3}), 112.3 (s, CF_{2}), 112.2 (s, CF_{2}), 111.8 (s$ CF₂), 111.7 (s, CF₂), 111.1 (s, CF₂), 109.3 (qm, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 26$ Hz, η-C in C₆F₁₃), 26.5 (tt, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 129.4$ Hz, J = 5.5 Hz, CH₂), 5.58 (t, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 121.4$ Hz, CH₂Si), -3.51 (q, ${}^{1}J_{C,H} = 119.0$ Hz, CH₃Si). 19 F NMR (282.4 MHz, C₆D₆): $\delta -77.7$ (m, 3 F, CF₃), -113.0 (m, 2 F, α-CF₂), -118.8 (m, 6 F, γ,δ,ε-CF₂), -119.7(m, 2 F, ζ -CF₂), -120.1 (m, 2 F, β -CF₂), -123.1 (m, 2 F, η-C*F*₂). **4c**, Mp 194°C, (Calc. for C₂₇H₃₉Si₃P: C, 67.7; H, 8.21; Si, 17.6; P, 6.47. Found: C, 67.5; H, 8.31; Si, 17.8; P, 6.58%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.48 (m, 2 H, *o*-H in C₆H₄SiMe₃), 7.32 (m, 2 H, *m*-H in C₆H₄SiMe₃), 0.15 (s, 9 H, ${}^{2}J_{Si,H} = 6.9$ Hz, Me). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (121.5 MHz, C₆D₆): $\delta - 5.32$. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (75.5 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 141.3 (s, ${}^{1}J_{Si,C} = 64.7$ Hz, p-C, in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 138.6 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 138.8 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 6.6$ Hz, *m*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$), 133.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.2$ Hz, *ipso*-C in $C_6H_4SiMe_3$, *ipso*-C in 18.9 Hz, o-C in C₆H₄SiMe₃), -0.83 (s, ${}^{1}J_{Si,C} = 52.3$ Hz, Me).

4b: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 138.6, $\delta_{\rm P}$ -5.56; **4c**: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 141.3, $\delta_{\rm P}$ -5.32) relative to those of PPh₃ ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 128.2, $\delta_{\rm P}$ -4.90) it can be concluded that the -(CH₂)₂-SiMe₂- linker is very effective in insulating the electron-withdrawing perfluoroalkyl tail from the aromatic ring and consequently, the phosphorus atom. In fact, the observed ¹³C- and ³¹P-NMR chemical shift differences between PPh₃ and **4a,b** are largely the result of the -SiMe₂(CH₂)₂-moiety.

As our primary objective for the perfluorotail-functionalization of triarylphosphines was to immobilize a Wilkinson-type catalyst in a fluorous solvent, solubility studies were carried out and it was found that fluorous phosphines **4a,b** dissolve markedly better in FC-72 (n-C₆F₁₄) compared to non-fluorous PPh₃ and **4c**.² Although, in an absolute sense, the fluorous phase preference of the novel phosphines is still low, it is markedly better than that of **4c** and PPh₃.³

Phosphines **4** were reacted with $[(COD)-RhCl]_2$ to afford the tris(triarylphosphine)rhodium(I) chlorides **5** (Scheme 1, step iv). Complexes **5a,b**, isolated as red oils, were purified by washing with hexane or toluene. Non-fluorous **5c** was obtained as an orange powder which needed no further purification. The crude reaction mixtures also contained dimeric byproduct $[(COD)RhCl(4)]_2$ (typically 20–30%). An alternative route to compounds **5a,b** involves treatment of $[RhCl(PPh_3)_3]$ with **4a,b** in benzene at room temperature to produce **5a,b** in essentially quantitative yield. All three complexes **5** were fully characterized by NMR spectroscopy (¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P) and gave satisfactory elemental analyses. ⁴ From the ³¹P NMR data, it can be concluded that complexes **5** adopt square planar geometries similar to the original Wilkinson's catalyst [15]. Solubility studies with **5b** in pure FC-72, *n*-hexane or benzene indicate that in contrast to the free fluorous phosphines, the fluorous rhodium complex **5b** has high fluor phase solubility at room temperature despite its high molecular weight. ⁵

Rhodium complexes **5a**,**b** were found to be active catalysts for solution phase hydrogenation of 1-alkenes under single phase conditions. ⁶ Using α , α , α -trifluorotoluene as solvent, it was

⁶ A representative catalytic reaction under single phase fluorous conditions was carried out in c-CF₃C₆F₁₁ (2 ml) at 80°C and atmospheric hydrogen pressure using 0.035 mmol of catalyst and 12.7 mmol of 1-octene. The hydrogen atmosphere was maintained by an oil filled calibrated gas burette charged with H₂. To recycle the catalyst layer after > 95% conversion (monitored by the H₂ uptake), the homogeneous reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C, the upper organic layer was siphoned off and a new quantity of 1-octene was added. Activity is expressed as the turn-over frequency (TOF): mol of H₂ · consumed mol⁻¹ of Rh h⁻¹. Turn-over number (TON): mol of H₂ · consumed mol⁻¹ Rh.

² e.g., the solubility of **4b** in FC-72 (~ 30 mM) is at least 40 times higher than that of PPh₃ and seven times higher than that of **4c**. Although the relative solubility of **4b** in FC-72 compared to pentane is low ($c_{\rm FC-72} / c_{\rm pentane} = 0.14$), it is more than 25 times higher than that of PPh₃ (insoluble in FC-72) and three times better than that of **4c** ($c_{\rm FC-72} / c_{\rm pentane} < 0.005$ and < 0.044, respectively).

³ i.e., 1.3:1 (4b) and > 90:1 (4c) distributions in favor of the hexane layer were found at 10°C in 1:1 (v/v) biphasic mixtures of *n*-hexane and FC-72 ($T_c = 23^{\circ}$ C).

 $^{^{4}}$ 5a, (Calc. for $C_{144}H_{126}F_{117}ClSi_{9}P_{3}Rh:$ C, 37.9; H, 2.78; F, 48.7; Cl, 0.78; Si, 5.54; P, 2.04. Found: C, 37.8; H, 2.85; F, 48.5; Si, 5.61; P, 2.11%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, FC-72/C₆D₆): δ 7.61 (m, 18 H, o-H in $C_6H_4SiMe_2C_6F_{13}$), 6.97 (m, 18 H, m-H in C₆H₄SiMe₂C₆F₁₃), 1.87 (m, 18 H, CH₂CF₂), 0.80 (m, 18 H, CH₂Si), 0.04 (m, 54 H, Me). ³¹ P(¹H) NMR (121.5 MHz, CF₃C₆H₅/C₆D₆): δ 48.0 (dt, ¹J_{Rh,P} = 189.9 Hz, ²J_{P,P} = 37.6 Hz), 31.42 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{Rh,P} = 143.3$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} = 37.6$ Hz). **5b**, (Calc. for C₁₆₂H₁₂₆F₁₅₃ClSi₉P₃Rh: C, 35.6; H, 2.32; F, 53.2; Si, 4.63; P, 1.70. Found: C, 35.7; H, 2.37; F, 53.0; Si, 4.66; P, 1.65%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CF₃C₆F₁₄/C₆D₁₄): δ 7.43 (m, 18 H, o-H in $C_6H_4SiMe_2C_8F_{17}$) 7.00 (m, 18 H, *m*-H in $C_6H_4SiMe_2C_8F_{17}$), 1.89 (m, 18 H, CH₂CF₂), 0.85 (m, 18 H, CH₂Si), 0.15 (m, 54 H, Me). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.5 MHz, $CF_3C_6H_5/C_6D_6$): δ 48.0 (dt, ${}^{1}J_{\text{Rh},\text{P}} = 191.9 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{\text{P},\text{P}} = 37.4 \text{ Hz}), 31.42 \text{ (dd, } {}^{1}J_{\text{Rh},\text{P}} = 144.6 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{\text{P},\text{P}} = 37.4 \text{ Hz}). {}^{13}\text{C}\{^{1}\text{H}\} \text{ NMR} (75.5 \text{ MHz}).$ $CF_3C_6F_{14}/C_6D_{14}$): δ 138.7, 138.4, 137.0, 135.3, 134.7, 132.4, 128.7, 126–102 (m, CF₃, CF₂), 26.4 (t, ${}^{2}J_{C,F} = 23.8$ Hz, CH_2CF_2), 5.51 (s, CH_2Si), -4.35 (s, ${}^{1}J_{C.Si} = 52.6$ Hz, Me). 5c, (Calc. for C₈₁H₁₁₇ClSi₉P₃Rh: C, 61.8; H, 7.49; Si, 16.0; P, 5.90. Found: C, 61.6; H, 7.56; Si, 15.8; P, 5.94%); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.9 (m, 18 H, o-H in C₆H₄SiMe₃), 7.2 (m, 18 H, m-H in C₆H₄SiMe₃), 0.18 (m, 54 H, Me). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, $C_6 D_6$): δ 47.4.0 (dt, ${}^{1}J_{Rh,P} = 191.8$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} = 37.5$ Hz), 31.0 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{Rh,P} = 143.4$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{P,P} = 37.5$ Hz). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (75.5 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 141.3, 141.0, 137.1 (m), 135.5 (m), 134.7 (d), 133.8 (d), 132.7 (m), 132.1 (d), (C_6H_4) , -0.75 (s, ${}^1J_{C,Si} = 52.5$ Hz, Me).

 $^{^{5} &}gt; 20 \text{ mM}$ (FC-72) vs. 0.33 (benzene) and 5.80 mM (hexane).

possible to compare activities of the fluorous and non-fluorous catalysts. From Fig. 1, it can be concluded that introducing and/or lengthening of the fluor tail has a negative effect on activity in comparison with the trimethylsilyl derivative **5c**. However, activities are close to that of the conventional Wilkinson's catalyst.

With perfluoromethylcyclohexane as fluorous solvent the hydrogenation products can be readilv isolated from the catalyst layer by cooling the reaction mixture below 25°C followed by phase separation of the resulting biphasic system. In this way, hydrogenation of 1-octene afforded *n*-octane in > 95% isolated yield (GC). For **5b**, we were able to recycle the fluorous catalyst layer multiple times allowing high turnover numbers (TON > 3000 in nine cycles). Upon recycling of the catalyst layer, it was found that the activity increased (TOF = 177 h^{-1} in the first cycle. TOF = 600 h^{-1} in the eighth cycle) which is most probably caused by the combined effects of a non-zero order rate dependence in rhodium and the (observed) loss of fluorous solvent due to non-zero miscibility of c-CF₃C₆F₁₁ in the product layer even at 0°C. Restoring the amount of c-CF₃C₆F₁₁ to its original value reproduced 87% of the initial activity $(TOF = 155 h^{-1})$ in the ninth cycle. It should be clear, however, that the effect of traces of air and moisture cannot be completely excluded at this experimental scale.



Fig. 1. Turn-over frequencies (TOF, expressed as mol of H₂ consumed mol⁻¹ of Rh h⁻¹) for the non-fluorous and the newly developed fluorous hydrogenations catalysts. Conditions: $T = 80^{\circ}$ C, p = 1 bar, solvent: CF₃C₆H₅.

As was anticipated by the limited drop in activity upon recycling of the catalyst, ICP-AAS analysis confirmed that leaching of rhodium into the product layer is low (5a: 0.3% (6 ppm); **5b**: 0.1% (3 ppm) after the first cycle and 1.0% after nine cycles: $T = 0^{\circ}$ C) demonstrating the high fluor phase preference of the rhodium containing species. It should be noted, however, that efficient retention of fluorous phosphine is just as important for recycling the intact catalyst system as is retention of rhodium. In fact, significant amounts of fluorous phosphine were present in the alkane product phases (5a: 130 ppm, 0.24 equiv. per Rh; 5b: 56 ppm, 0.07 equiv. per Rh) indicating that leaching of fluorous ligand is more significant than that of rhodium itself. A monomer-dimer equilibrium involving dissociation of phosphine as is known for the original Wilkinson's catalyst [11,12] may well be responsible for this.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a straightforward synthetic route for the synthesis of novel fluorous triarvl phosphines and derived Wilkinson-type catalysts. The fluorous Wilkinson's catalysts display high activity in the hydrogenation of 1-alkenes under fluorous conditions and it was found that the fluorous catalyst **5b** can be recycled effectively for at least eight times with an overall recovery of rhodium of 99% and less than 13% drop in activity. For an even higher fluor phase retention of these hydrogenation catalysts, it will probably be worthwhile to decrease the solubility of (especially) the phosphine ligands in the organic phase even further. To this end, studies directed to further increase the fluorous nature of the phosphine ligands are in progress.

Acknowledgements

We thank H. Kleijn and Dr. J.T.B.H. Jastrzebski (Utrecht University) for valuable advise and Elf Atochem Vlissingen for financial support. B.R. also thanks U-Cat (Utrecht) for financial support.

References

- [1] I.T. Horváth, J. Rábai, Science 266 (1994) 72.
- [2] I. Horváth, J. Rábai, Eur. Pat. Appl., EP 94-304877.7, US pat. 93-88706.
- [3] S. Kainz, D. Koch, W. Baumann, W. Leitner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 36 (1997) 1628.
- [4] I.T. Horváth, G. Kiss, R.A. Cook, J.E. Bond, P.A. Stevens, J. Rábai, E.J. Mozeleski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120 (1998) 3133.
- [5] J.J.J. Juliette, I.T. Horváth, J.A. Gladysz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 36 (1997) 1610.
- [6] A.M. Stuart, E.G. Hope, R.D.W. Kemmit, D.R. Paige, 11th

International Symposium on Homogeneous Catalysis, University of St. Andrews, Scotland, UK, 12–17 July 1998.

- [7] B. Betzemeier, P. Knochel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 36 (1997) 2623.
- [8] M.A. Caroll, A.B. Holmes, Chem. Commun. (1998) 1395.
- [9] H. Gopal, C.E. Snyder, C. Tamborski, J. Fluorine Chem. 14 (1979) 511.
- [10] P. Bhattacharya, D. Gudmunsen, E.G. Hope, R.D.W. Kemmitt, D.R. Paige, A.M. Stuart, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I (1997) p. 3609.
- [11] F.H. Jardine, J.A. Osborn, G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., (A) (1967), p. 1574.
- [12] A. Montelatici, A. van der Ent, J.A. Osborn, G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., (A) (1968) p. 1054 and references therein.
- [13] K.C. Frisch, H. Lyons, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75 (1953) 4078.
- [14] H. Kleijn, J.T.B.H. Jastrzebski, R.A. Gossage, H. Kooijman, A.L. Spek, G. van Koten, Tetrahedron 54 (1997) 1145.
- [15] M.J. Bennett, P.B. Donaldson, Inorg. Chem. 16 (1977) 655.